

Physica C 282-287 (1997) 1805-1806

## Wave function and size of spin-polarons in the t-J model

A. Ramšak <sup>a</sup> and P. Horsch <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> J. Stefan Institute, University of Ljubljana, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>b</sup>Max-Planck-Institut f. Festkörperforschung, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

Here we present a more detailed picture of some spatial properties of the spin-polaron - quasiparticle formed after injecting a hole into a planar quantum antiferromagnet. The explicit form of the quasiparticle wave function allows us accurately to investigate the spatial extent of the polaron. In the Ising limit of the model we find for the RMS radius of the distribution of spin-deviations around the moving hole is  $R = 1.06(t/J)^{0.157}$ . The form and the size of the polaron in the usual t-J model (Heisenberg limit), is on the other hand, anisotropic, larger and does not obey such a simple scaling. Finally we discuss the renormalization of spin-polarons by phonons in the framework of a Holstein t-J model.

The problem of a single mobile vacancy (hole) in an antiferromagnetic (AFM) plane was extensively investigated using various analytical approaches as well as with exact diagonalization of small clusters[1]. In this work we present a more detailed picture of the quasiparticle, in paricular the spatial size of spin-polaron.

We study the *t-J* Hamiltonian reformulated in terms of spinless fermions and Schwinger bosons [2]. We first calculate the Green's function for the hole in self-consistent Born approximation from the equation  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} M_{\mathbf{kq}}^2 G_{\omega-\mathbf{q}}(\omega-\omega_{\mathbf{q}})$  for the self-energy, where  $M_{\mathbf{kq}}$  is the fermion-magnon coupling and  $\omega_{\mathbf{q}}$  is magnon dispersion. The corresponding wave function [3,4] is given by

$$|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = Z_{\mathbf{k}}^{1/2} \left[ h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + N^{-1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_{1}} g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}_{1}} h_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}_{1}}^{\dagger} + \dots + N^{-n/2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{q}_{n}} g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}_{1}} g_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_{1},\mathbf{q}_{2}} \dots \times g_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_{1}-\dots-\mathbf{q}_{n-1},\mathbf{q}_{n}} h_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_{1}-\dots-\mathbf{q}_{n}}^{\dagger} \times \alpha_{\mathbf{q}_{1}}^{\dagger} \dots \alpha_{\mathbf{q}_{n}}^{\dagger} \right] |AFM\rangle.$$
(1)

Here  $h_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$  is the creation operator for a hole and  $\alpha_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}$  creates an AFM magnon. The coefficients  $g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}$  are determined with the Green's function and  $g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} = M_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}}G_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}(\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{q}})$ . The Green's function is evaluated at the energy of the quasiparticle pole,  $\omega = \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ . The normalization constant is derived from the self-energy,  $Z_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} =$ 

0921-4534/97/\$17.00 © Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. Pl1 S0921-4534(97)01018-6

 $1 - \partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) / \partial \omega |_{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}$ . The state  $|AFM\rangle$  represents the vacuum with respect to hole and magnon operators.

The wave-function can be used to extract various correlation functions. First we calculate the average number of magnons excited by the hole,  $< n >= \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} | \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ . In the Ising limit of the model (transverse coupling in the *t-J* Hamiltonian set to zero) < n > is identical to the average number of local spin deviations,  $\langle \sum_i S_i^+ S_i^- \rangle$ , and is proportional to the average string length  $l_{av}$  in the corresponding confining linear string potential. Therefore  $< n > \propto l_{av} \propto (t/J)^{1/3}$  for  $J/t \ll 1$  as presented in [5]. The same scaling is expected for the *spatial size* of the polaron.

A measure of the the spatial extent of the polaron is given by the correlation function

$$N_{\mathbf{R}} = \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} | \sum_{i} n_{i} a_{i+\mathbf{R}}^{\dagger} a_{i+\mathbf{R}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle,$$

where  $n_i = h_i^{\dagger} h_i$  is density operator for holes. This correlation function measures the average number of (local) magnons excited at the distance **R** from the hole. The counting of bosons with  $a_i^{\dagger} a_i$  on the level of Holstein-Primakoff approximation used in our treatment corresponds to the counting of spin deviations,  $S_i^+ S_i^-$ . The function  $N_{\mathbf{R}}$  can be used to determine the size of the polaron.

In the Ising limit  $N_{\mathbf{R}}$  can be decomposed into  $N_{\mathbf{R}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_m(\mathbf{R}) P_m$ , where  $P_m = \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} A^{(j)}$ 

and  $p_m(\mathbf{R}) = 4^{-m} {m \choose m_+} {m \choose m_-}$ . Here  $m_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(m - ||R_x| \pm |R_y||)$  must be a non-negative integer, otherwise  $p_m(\mathbf{R}) = 0$ .  $A^{(n)}$  is the probability distribution that n magnons are excited and is given with the recursion relation,  $A^{(m+1)} = A^{(m)}[2tG_{\mathbf{k}}(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - 2mJ)]^2$  and  $A^{(0)} = Z_{\mathbf{k}}$ . In the Ising limit there is no momentum dependence of the quasiparticle.

We define the size of the polaron quantitatively by the radius  $R_p$  (element of Bravais lattice), which encloses a given fraction p of the total number of spin deviations,  $p = \langle n \rangle^{-1} \sum_{R \leq R_p} N_{\mathbf{R}}$ . In the Fig. 1 we present  $R_p$  vs. J/t for three different values of p = 0.7, 0.9, and 0.99. In the physically interesting regime,  $J/t \sim 0.3$  the polaron is contained within the radius  $\langle 2$ . The expected scaling  $R_p \propto \langle n \rangle^{1/2} \propto (t/J)^{1/6}$  is well established. We have also calculated the average radius,  $R_{ave} = \langle n \rangle^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} |\mathbf{R}| N_{\mathbf{R}}$ , and the root-mean square radius,  $R_{RMS}$ . In Fig. 1  $R_{RMS}$  and  $R_{ave}$  are presented with solid line and dashed line respectively. The RMS radius can be for J/t < 1 well fitted with  $R = 1.06(t/J)^{0.157}$ .

The form and the size of the polaron in the usual t-J model (Heisenberg limit), is on the other hand, anisotropic, much larger and does not obey such a simple scaling. The average radius cannot be defined, because of a slow power-law  $\sim 1/R^2$  dependence of  $N_{\mathbf{R}}$  for  $R \to \infty$  and thus logarithmically divergent < n > [4].

Finally, we have studied also the renormalization of spin-polarons by phonons in the framework of a Holstein t-J model,

$$H = H_{tJ} + \sum_{i\delta s} M_{\delta} c_{is}^{\dagger} c_{is} (b_{i+\delta}^{\dagger} + b_{i+\delta}) + \Omega \sum_{i} b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{i},$$

where  $H_{tJ}$  is the usual t-J Hamiltonian with coupling of charge carriers to Einstein phonons with energy  $\Omega$  and the fermion-phonon coupling  $M_{\delta}$ [6]. We found that the slow coherent motion of the polaron enhances the effect of the lattice distortion. The phonon induced mass renormalization of the carriers which propagate in the t-Jmodel on scale J is much larger than in the corresponding uncorrelated model, except in the limit of  $J \rightarrow 0$  where the weight of the quasiparticle pole tends to zero. The enhancement is a consequence of the slow coherent motion of the spin po-



Figure 1. The radius R of a spin-polaron calculated with different definitions for R:  $R_p$  vs. J/t for three different values of p = 0.7 (circles), 0.9 (open squares) and 0.99 (full squares);  $R_{RMS}$  and  $R_{ave}$  are presented with solid line and dashed line respectively.

larons which makes electron-phonon interactions more effective. The corresponding wave function has the same structure as in the bare t-J model.

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 763 (1994).
- S. Schmitt-Rink, C. M. Varma, and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2793 (1988), G. Martinez and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 317 (1991).
- 3. G. F. Reiter, Phys. Rev. B 49, 1536 (1994).
- A. Ramšak and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. B 48, 10559 (1993).
- P. Horsch and A. Ramšak, J. Low. Temp. Phys. 95, 343 (1994).
- A. Ramšak and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. B 46, 14305 (1993).