

ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENT OF THE CONSTITUENT QUARK

MITJA ROSINA

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana,
and Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana

1 Units

Convenient units for magnetic moments are the nonrelativistic values

$$\mu_N = \frac{e}{2m_N} \dots \dots \dots \text{nuclear magneton},$$

$$\mu_q^0 = \frac{e/3}{2m_q} \dots \dots \dots \text{quark magneton},$$

$$\mu_\pi^0 = \frac{e}{2m_\pi} \dots \dots \dots \text{pion magneton},$$

Then for the u quark $\mu_{\mathbf{u}} = +2\mu_q^0$ and for the d quark $\mu_{\mathbf{d}} = -1\mu_q^0$.

Usually we assume $m_q = \frac{1}{3}m_N$, then $\mu_q^0 = \mu_N$.

The pion magneton is much larger due to the small pion mass,
 $\mu_\pi^0 = (m_N/m_\pi) \mu_N = 6.85\mu_N = z\mu_N$.

This is the magnetic moment of a free nonrelativistic pion.
For a relativistic virtual pion we expect a smaller magnetic
moment since, loosely speaking, instead of the mass,
some energy ("effective mass") appears in the denominator.

2 Relativistic derivation

The relativistic derivation for quarks starts from the Hamiltonian

$$H = \vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{p} - e_q \vec{A}) + \dots$$

By putting $\vec{A} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{r} \times \vec{B}$ we get

$$\mu_q = \langle \psi | \frac{1}{2} e_q (\vec{r} \times \vec{\alpha})_z | \psi \rangle = \frac{2}{3} e_q \int u(r) v(r) r^3 dr$$

for the Dirac bispinor in s-state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}(u; i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} v)$

As a relativistic unit we then choose (defined for $e_q = \frac{1}{3}e$)

$$\mu_q = \frac{2}{9} e \int u(r) v(r) r^3 dr .$$

Similarly, for pions it follows from the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} [(\vec{p} - e \vec{A}) \phi]^2 + \dots, \quad \mu_\pi = \frac{1}{4} \int \phi^2(r) r^2 dr .$$

In the following we shall assume $\mu_q \approx \mu_q^0 \approx \mu_N$ and $\mu_\pi = z \mu_N$ with z probably between 1 and 6.85.

3 Magnetic moment of constituent quarks with pion cloud

$$|u^\uparrow\rangle = \sqrt{(1 - \frac{3}{2}a)} | \mathbf{u}^\uparrow \rangle - \sqrt{a} (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} | \mathbf{d}^\uparrow \pi_0^+ \rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} | \mathbf{d}^\downarrow \pi_1^+ \rangle) + \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} | \mathbf{u}^\uparrow \pi_0^0 \rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} | \mathbf{u}^\downarrow \pi_1^0 \rangle)$$

$$|d^\uparrow\rangle = \sqrt{(1 - \frac{3}{2}a)} | \mathbf{d}^\uparrow \rangle + \sqrt{a} (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} | \mathbf{u}^\uparrow \pi_0^- \rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} | \mathbf{u}^\downarrow \pi_1^- \rangle) - \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} | \mathbf{d}^\uparrow \pi_0^0 \rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} | \mathbf{d}^\downarrow \pi_1^0 \rangle)$$

We assume p-state pions and the subscript denotes the z-component of its angular momentum.

The basis of pure flavour quarks (and bare nucleons) is denoted by red \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{d} , \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{n} .

The magnetic moment of constituent quarks is defined as

$$\mu_u = \langle u^\uparrow | \sigma_3 (\frac{3}{2} \tau_3 + \frac{1}{2}) \mu_q + \Sigma_3 T_3 \mu_\pi | u^\uparrow \rangle$$

and similar for the d quark. The operators Σ and T act on pions.

The resulting magnetic moments are

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathbf{u}} &= 2(1 - \tfrac{3}{2}a) \mu_{\mathbf{q}} + \tfrac{2}{3}a\mu_{\pi} , \\ \mu_{\mathbf{d}} &= -(1 - a) \mu_{\mathbf{q}} - \tfrac{2}{3}a\mu_{\pi} .\end{aligned}$$

For $a = 0.18$, $\mu_{\mathbf{q}} = \mu_{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\mu_{\pi} = 6.85 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}$ we get

$$\mu_{\mathbf{u}} = 2.28 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{d}} = -1.64 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{p}} = 3.59 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{n}} = -2.95 \mu_{\mathbf{N}},$$

For $a = 0.18$, $\mu_{\mathbf{q}} = \mu_{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\mu_{\pi} = 2 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}$ or $\mu_{\pi} = \mu_{\mathbf{N}}$ we get

$$\mu_{\mathbf{u}} = 1.70 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{d}} = -1.06 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{p}} = 2.62 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{n}} = -1.98 \mu_{\mathbf{N}},$$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{u}} = 1.58 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{d}} = -0.94 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{p}} = 2.42 \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbf{n}} = -1.78 \mu_{\mathbf{N}},$$

The ratio between proton and neutron magnetic moments is spoiled, it is no longer - 3/2 as in the simple quark model. In the first example above it is - 1.22, and in the second it is - 1.32 or 1.36. It is well known that any pion cloud brings the ratio from - 1.5 closer to - 1. Maybe the inclusion of ρ meson etc can correct this deficiency.

4 Pion cloud around bare nucleon

$$|p^\uparrow\rangle = \sqrt{(1 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha)} |\mathbf{p}^\uparrow\rangle - \sqrt{\alpha} (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\mathbf{n}^\uparrow \pi_0^+\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\mathbf{n}^\downarrow \pi_1^+\rangle) + \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\mathbf{p}^\uparrow \pi_0^0\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\mathbf{p}^\downarrow \pi_1^0\rangle).$$

In the "oldfashioned" model with $\mu_{\mathbf{p}} = \mu_N$, $\mu_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ and $\mu_\pi = 6.85 \mu_N$ we get for $\alpha = 0.18$

$$\mu_p = (1 - \frac{5}{3}\alpha + \frac{2}{3}z\alpha) \mu_N = 1.52\mu_N,$$

$$\mu_n = -(\frac{1}{3}\alpha + \frac{2}{3}z\alpha) \mu_N = -0.88\mu_N.$$

We can fit the experimental values $\mu_p = 2.79\mu_N$, $\mu_n = -1.91\mu_N$

with $\alpha = 0.06$, $z = 47$, or approximately

with $\alpha = 0.18$, $z = 17.47$ (corresponding to $\mu_p = 2.79\mu_N$, $\mu_n = -2.15\mu_N$).

5 Comparison with other QUARK-PION MODELS

Example: L. Amoreira, M.Fiolhais, B. Golli, M.Rosina:

Int. J. of Modern Physics A **14**(1999)731-759.

$$H = H_q + H_\pi + \int d^3r \vec{j}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \vec{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) ,$$

$$\vec{j}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \rho(r) \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \sigma(\nu) \vec{\tau}(\nu) ,$$

$$H_q = m_{0N} \sum_{N_i} |N_i\rangle \langle N_i| + m_{0\Delta} \sum_{\Delta_i} |\Delta_i\rangle \langle \Delta_i| ,$$

$$H_\pi =: \int \frac{1}{2} [\vec{P}_\pi \cdot \vec{P}_\pi + \nabla \vec{\pi} \cdot \nabla \vec{\pi} d^3r : .$$

$R[\text{fm}]$	μ_p/μ_N	μ_n/μ_N
0.7	1.77	-1.40
0.9	2.04	-1.53
1.1	2.35	-1.69
1.3	2.67	-1.88
1.5	3.01	-2.08

Table 1: R (e.g. from Cloudy Bag Model) is a measure of pion amplitude

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am pleased to acknowledge the inspiration for this study and the fruitful collaboration with BOGDAN POVH (Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics at Heidelberg)

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

I SHALL APPRECIATE
YOUR CRITICISM AND SUGGESTIONS