A biased review of Leptogenesis

Lotfi Boubekeur ICTP

Baryogenesis: Basics

Observation

Our Universe is baryon asymmetric.

$$\frac{n_B}{s} \equiv \frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{s} \simeq 10^{-11}$$

BAU is measured in CMB and BBN. Perfect agreement with each other.

Theory

Sakharov Conditions for successful baryogenesis

- B violation $au_p \gtrsim 10^{32} ext{ yrs}$
- C & CP violation
- Departure from thermal equilibrium

EW Sphalerons

One of the great successes of SM is to explain why Baryon and Lepton numbers are conserved perturbatively.

But at the non perturbative level, B and L are no more conserved due to EW anomaly.



Rate of B+L violation is given by

$$\Gamma \sim \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{-4\pi}{\alpha_W}\right) \sim 10^{-160}, & T = 0\\ (\alpha_W T)^4 \left(\frac{m_{sph}}{T}\right)^7 \exp\left(-\frac{m_{sph}}{T}\right), & T < T_C\\ \alpha_W^5 T^4 & T > T_C \end{cases}$$

=> Sphalerons are in equilibrium for $10^2 \text{GeV} < T < 10^{12} \text{GeV}$

$$B = \left(\frac{8n_g + 4n_H}{22n_g + 13n_H}\right)L$$



GUT Baryogenesis

B violation mediated by X and Y bosons present in GUTs



The scenario works however it is disfavoured because of the required high reheat temperature to produce X and Y => gravitinos, monopoles, ...

Affleck-Dine Baryogenesis

-In SUSY, there exists plenty of flat directions (F=D=0).

- -Their flatness is only lifted by SUSX or non-renormalizable operators.
- -Furthermore during inflation, SUSY is broken since $V \neq 0$.

Example

$$W = \lambda \frac{(LH_u)^2}{M} \qquad L_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

This flat direction carries lepton number $n_L = \frac{i}{2}(\varphi^* \dot{\varphi} - \varphi \dot{\varphi^*}),$

$$V(\varphi) = (m_{3/2}^2 - c_H H^2) |\varphi|^2 + a_H H \frac{\varphi^4}{4M} + a_m m_{3/2} \frac{\varphi^4}{4M} + \text{h.c.} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{M^2} |\varphi|^6.$$

During inflation $H \gg m_{3/2} \implies |\varphi_0| \simeq \left(\frac{c_H M^2 H^2}{|\lambda|^2}\right)^{1/4}.$

$$\dot{n_L} + 3Hn_L = \operatorname{Im}\left[\varphi \frac{\partial V(\varphi)}{\partial \varphi}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad n_L \approx \frac{m_{3/2}}{2M} \operatorname{Im}(a_m \varphi^4) t$$

Spontaneous Baryo/Leptogenesis

Important Caveat: CPT is assumed to be conserved.

If CRT, then Baryogenesis can proceed in equilibrium.

Baryon or lepton number can couple through

$$\mathcal{L} \supset J^{\mu}_{B} \partial_{\mu} \phi \to n_{B} \dot{\phi}$$

If $\dot{\phi} \neq 0$ Poincare' is broken => $\dot{C}RT$ (Greenberg Theorem).

The evolution of baryon number will follow:

$$\partial_{\mu}J_{B}^{\mu} \propto \partial^{2}\phi \to \dot{n}_{B} + 3Hn_{B} \propto \ddot{\phi}$$

E.g. coupling with Ricci $\,\partial^\mu R \partial_\mu \phi$,

=> model dependent predictions

Type I See-saw & leptogenesis

• The existence of a heavy right handed (RH) explains the smallness of neutrino masses

$$\frac{\langle H \rangle \quad \langle H \rangle}{\nu_L \quad \nu_R \quad \nu_L} \Rightarrow m_\nu \simeq -\frac{Y^T Y}{M_{\nu_R}} \langle H \rangle^2 \ll m_{q,\ell} = Y_{q,\ell} \langle H \rangle$$

• The decay of RH neutrinos leads to a L asymmetry which is converted to a baryon asymmetry through SM sphalerons.



Baryogenesis through leptogenesis

- Lepton number produced through *L* decay of Right handed neutrino
- Exploits EW sphalerons to transform L to B.

$$Y_B \equiv \frac{n_B}{s} = \left(\frac{8n_g + 4n_H}{22n_g + 13n_H}\right) \frac{n_L}{s},$$

• CP violation: interference of tree + I-loop (vertex and self energy)

$$\varepsilon_{i} \equiv \frac{\sum_{j} \Gamma(N_{i} \to \ell_{j}h_{u}) - \sum_{j} \Gamma(N_{i} \to \bar{\ell}_{j}\bar{h}_{u})}{\sum_{j} \Gamma(N_{i} \to \ell_{j}h_{u}) + \sum_{j} \Gamma(N_{i} \to \bar{\ell}_{j}\bar{h}_{u})}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1}{(YY^{\dagger})_{ii}} \sum_{k \neq i} \operatorname{Im} \left[\{(YY^{\dagger})_{ik}\}^{2} \right] \left[F_{V} \left(\frac{M_{k}^{2}}{M_{i}^{2}}\right) + F_{S} \left(\frac{M_{k}^{2}}{M_{i}^{2}}\right) \right]$$

with
$$F_V(x) = \sqrt{x} \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right), \quad F_S(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{x-1}$$

Departure from thermal equilibrium vs. thermal production

Consider hierarchical RH neutrino masses => B is created through decay of N_1 Departure from thermal equilibrium => $\Gamma_{\rm Decay} < H$

$$\frac{\Gamma(T=0)}{H(T=M)} = \frac{(Y^{\dagger}Y)_{11} \cdot M}{8\pi} \Big/ \left(g_*^{1/2} \frac{M^2}{M_P} \frac{2\pi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{45}} \right) \equiv \frac{\widetilde{m}_1}{1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}} < 1,$$

On the other hand, thermal production of RH neutrinos => $\Gamma_{\rm Prod}>H$

In general, one invokes the presence of B-L gauge bosons, which are in equilibrium

for

$$M_{Z'} < \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{10^{10} \text{ GeV}}\right)^{3/4} 4 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}.$$

The gravitino problem in leptogenesis Thermal production of N_1 => T_{RH} > $M_{N_1} \simeq 10^9 \, {\rm GeV}$

On the other hand, in the SUSY version of leptogenesis

$$\Omega_{3/2} h^2 = 0.21 \left(\frac{T_{\rm RH}}{10^{10} \,\,{\rm GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{100 \,\,{\rm GeV}}{m_{3/2}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{g}}}{1 \,\,{\rm TeV}} \right)^2$$

No overproduction of gravitinos => $T_{RH} < 10^9 \, \text{GeV}$.

Possible solutions

- Either the gravitino is very heavy or very light.
- Gravitino is dark matter.
- Non-thermal production (preheating, inflaton decay, ...)
- Low reheat temperature.

Low Scale Leptogenesis

LB, hep-ph/0208003

LB, T. Hambye & G. Senjanović, PRL '04.

• Thermal production $T_{RH} > 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ \longrightarrow Gravitinos $T_{RH} < 10^9 \text{ GeV}$

• Proposed solution: RH neutrinos could have masses ~ TeV. Then T_{RH} ~TeV.

New sources de CP and L violation.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{N}} = (m_{\widetilde{N}}^2)_{ij} \widetilde{N}_i^* \widetilde{N}_j + B_{ij} \widetilde{N}_i \widetilde{N}_j + A_{ij}^U \widetilde{L}_i H_U \widetilde{N}_j$$
$$+ A_{ij}^{\prime U} \widetilde{L}_i H_U \widetilde{N}_j^* + A_{ij}^D \widetilde{L}_i H_D^* \widetilde{N}_j + A_{ij}^{\prime D} \widetilde{L}_i H_D^* \widetilde{N}_j^* + \text{h.c.}$$



Going to the diagonal basis

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{N}} = M_{\widehat{N}_{I}}^{2} \widehat{N}_{I}^{2} + \mu_{Ij}^{\alpha} \widehat{N}_{I} \widetilde{L}_{j} \phi_{\alpha} + \mu_{Ij}^{\alpha*} \widehat{N}_{I} \widetilde{L}_{j}^{*} \phi_{\alpha}^{*} ,$$

The CP asymmetry reads

$$\varepsilon_I^V = \frac{-1}{8\pi M_{\widehat{N}_I}^2} \frac{1}{|\mu_{Ij}^{\alpha}|^2} \sum_{K \neq I} \operatorname{Im} \left[\mu_{Im}^{\beta} \mu_{Kj}^{\beta*} \mu_{Km}^{\alpha*} \mu_{Ij}^{\alpha} \right] F_V(x_K),$$

$$\varepsilon_I^S = \frac{-1}{4\pi M_{\widehat{N}_I}^2} \frac{1}{|\mu_{Ij}^{\alpha}|^2} \sum_{K \neq I} \operatorname{Im} \left[\mu_{Im}^{\beta} \mu_{Km}^{\beta*} \mu_{Kj}^{\alpha*} \mu_{Ij}^{\alpha} \right] F_S(x_K),$$

With
$$x_K = M_{\widehat{N}_I}^2 / M_{\widehat{N}_K}^2$$
 and $F_V(x) = \ln(1+x)$, $F_S(x) = x/(1-x)$.

Numerical example:

$$\begin{split} M_{\widehat{N}_1} &\sim 2 \,\mathrm{TeV}, \; M_{\widehat{N}_2} \sim 6 \mathrm{TeV} \\ (\mu_{1j}^{\alpha})^{\max} &\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-8} \, M_{\widehat{N}_1}, \; (\mu_{2j}^{\alpha})^{\max} \sim 10^{-3} \, M_{\widehat{N}_2} \\ \mathrm{gives} \; \varepsilon_1 \;\sim \; 10^{-7} \; \mathrm{and} \; \; n_B / n_\gamma \sim 6 \cdot 10^{-10} \end{split}$$

New contributions to light neutrino masses



For our numerical example with $m_{\tilde{
u}_i} pprox 500~{
m GeV}$ and $m_\chi pprox 100~{
m GeV}$ gives

$$m_{\nu}^{\mathrm{rad}} \approx 1 \; \mathrm{eV!}$$
 \Downarrow

Degenerate spectrum for light neutrinos

Non thermal production of RH neutrinos LB & Davidson, Peloso, Sorbo, PRD '02

Mechanism	${\cal N}$ Yukawa h	$N \mathrm{\ mass}$	ϕ -N coupling
Thermal	$10^{-5} \mathrm{eV} < \tilde{m}_1 < 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}$	$10^9 { m GeV} \lesssim M_1 \lesssim T_{RH}$	irrelevant
Affleck–Dine	$10^{-9} \text{eV} < m_{\nu_1} < 10^{-4} \text{eV}$	$M_i < H_{\rm infl}$	$\begin{cases} M_i^{\text{eff}} < H_{\text{infl}} \\ \left(M_i^{\text{eff}}\right)^2 < 0 \end{cases}$
$\left.\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Pert.}\phi\\ \operatorname{decay}\end{array}\right\}$	$\Gamma_{LV} < H(\tau_i)$	$\left\{\begin{array}{l}M_i < m_{\phi}/2\\M_i > m_{\phi}/2\end{array}\right\}$	$BR(\phi \to N_i N_i) \sim 1$ $BR(\phi \to N_i^* N_i^*) \sim 1$
N preheating eq. (14)	$\Gamma_{LV} < H(\tau_i)$	$M_i \gtrsim 10^{14} \mathrm{GeV}$	$g_i \gtrsim 0.03$
\tilde{N} preh./resc. eq. (19)	$\Gamma_{LV} < H(\tau_i)$	$M_i \lesssim g_i 10^{17} \mathrm{GeV}$	$g_i\gtrsim \sqrt{\lambda}$

RH neutrinos are produced through the coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{N,\phi} = \bar{N} \, \left(M + g \, \phi \right) \, N$$

- Rescattering is important $\frac{dN_{3/2}}{dt} + 3\,H\,N_{3/2} \simeq \langle\sigma|v|\rangle N_X N_N$ Rescattering term
- Eventough RHN are produced at lower temperture, also gravitinos could be produced.



Inhomogeneous Leptogenesis

LB & P. Creminelli, PRD '06

• See-saw with couplings depending on a light field χ

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + Y_{ij} \left(\frac{\chi}{M_P}\right) L_i H N_j + M_i \left(\frac{\chi}{M_P}\right) N_i N_i + (\partial \chi)^2$$

• RH neutrinos will decay differently in different places => curvature fluctuations.

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + e^{2\zeta(\vec{x})}a(t)^{2}d\vec{x}^{2}$$

• Non-Gaussianity due to χ .



Constraints on $f_{\rm NL}$ => Light neutrinos have hierarchical or inverse hierarchical spectrum.

 \blacktriangleright Constraints on the dynamics of χ => $M(\chi M_P)$, $Y(\chi/M_P)$ >

Conclusions

- In general baryogenesis probes physics beyond the standard model.
- Leptogenesis is a typical working example. Links neutrinos to BAU.
- Gravitino overproduction => low scale models.
- Gravitino overproduction => preheating and rescattering.
- Inhomogeneous leptogenesis could be responsible for CMB temperature anisotropies.
- Good opportunity to test ideas.