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## Clifford space as an arena for physics

Distance in spacetime - line element

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
$$

Square root of the distance - a vector

$$
\mathrm{d} s=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}
$$

where the basis vectors satisfy

$$
\gamma_{\mu} \cdot \gamma_{v} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{v}+\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{v}\right)=\eta_{\mu v}
$$

Position in spacetime can be described as a vector:


This can be generalized to Clifford algebra:
$X=\sigma \underline{1}+x^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}+x^{\mu v} \gamma_{\mu} \wedge \gamma_{v}+x^{\mu v \alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \wedge \gamma_{v} \wedge \gamma_{\alpha}+x^{\mu v \alpha \beta} \gamma_{\mu} \wedge \gamma_{v} \wedge \gamma_{\alpha} \wedge \gamma_{\beta}$
A Clifford number $X$ describes position in Clifford space.
Clifford space is a manifold whose tangent space at any of its points is a Clifford algebra. In particular, a Clifford space can be flat, but in general it can be curved.

Instead of the usual relativity formulated in spacetime in which the interval is

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
$$

we are studying the theory in which the interval is extended to the space of $r$-volumes (called Clifford space):

$$
\mathrm{d} S^{2}=\mathrm{d} x^{M} G_{M N} \mathrm{~d} x^{N}=\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{M} \gamma_{M}{ }^{\ddagger} \gamma_{N} \mathrm{~d} x^{N}\right\rangle_{0} \quad \mathrm{~d} x^{M} \equiv \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}, \quad r=0,1,2,3,4
$$

Metric

$$
G_{M N}=\gamma_{M}^{\ddagger} * \gamma_{N} \equiv\left\langle\gamma_{M}^{\ddagger} \gamma_{N}\right\rangle_{0}
$$

$$
\left(\gamma_{\mu_{1}} \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_{r}}\right)^{\ddagger}=\gamma_{\mu_{r}} \cdots \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \gamma_{\mu_{1}}
$$

Signature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
++++++++-------- \tag{8,8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In flat C-space:

$$
\gamma_{M} \equiv \gamma_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}}=\gamma_{\mu_{1}} \wedge \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \gamma_{\mu_{r}}
$$

at every point $\mathcal{E} \in C$

Instead of the usual relativity formulated in spacetime in which the interval is

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \eta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
$$

we are studying the theory in which the interval is extended to the space of $r$-volumes (called Clifford space):

$$
\mathrm{d} S^{2}=\mathrm{d} x^{M} G_{M N} \mathrm{~d} x^{N}=\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{M} \gamma_{M}^{\dagger} \gamma_{N} \mathrm{~d} x^{N}\right\rangle_{0}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} x^{M} \equiv \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}, \quad r=0,1,2,3,4 \\
& \gamma_{M}=\gamma_{\mu_{1}} \wedge \gamma_{\mu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \gamma_{\mu_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Coordinates of Clifford space can be used to model extended objects.
They are a generalization of the concept of center of mass.
Instead of describing extended objects in " ${ }^{\prime}$ full detail", we can describe them in terms of the center of mass, area and volume coordinates
In particular, extended objects can be fundamental strings or branes.

## Clifford space: a quenched configuration space of extended objects

Strings and branes have infinitely many degrees of freedom.
But at first approximation we can consider just the centre of mass.


Next approximation is in considering the holographic coordinates of the oriented area enclosed by the string.


We may go further and search for eventual thickness of the object. If the string has finite thickness, i.e., if actually it is not a string, but a 2-brane, then there exist the corresponding volume degrees of freedom.


In general, for an extended object in $M_{4}$, we have 16 coordinates

$$
x^{M} \equiv x^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}, \quad r=0,1,2,3,4
$$

Polyvector coordinates
They are the projections of r-dimensional volumes (areas) onto the coordinate planes.
Oriented r-volumes can be elegantly described by Clifford algebra.

## Thick point particles

A world line in C represents the evolution of a 'thick' particle in spacetime


Thick particle can be an aggregate $p$-branes for various $p=0,1,2, \ldots$

But such interpretation is not obligatory.


A world line in C represents the evolution of a 'thick' particle in spacetime $M_{4}$


Thick particle can be an aggregate $p$-branes for various $p=0,1,2, \ldots$

But such interpretation is not obligatory.

Thick particle may be a conglomerate of whatever extended objects that can be sampled by polyvector coordinates
$X^{M} \equiv X^{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{\mu}}$


## A Toy Model: Harmonic Oscillator in Pseudo-Euclidean Space

Case

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}^{2}-\dot{y}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)
$$

Equations of motion

$$
\ddot{x}+\omega^{2} x=0, \quad \ddot{y}+\omega^{2} y=0
$$

The change of sign in front of the $y$-term has no influence on the equation of motion

Difference occurs when we calculate the canonical momenta

$$
p_{x}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}=\dot{x}, \quad p_{y}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}}=-\dot{y}
$$

and the Hamiltonian

$$
H=p_{x} \dot{x}+p_{y} \dot{y}-L=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{x}^{2}-p_{y}^{2}\right)+\frac{\omega^{2}}{2}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)
$$

The kinetic term for the y-component has negative sign, whilst that for the x-component has positive sign. Therefore, the equations of motion are

$$
\ddot{x}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \quad \ddot{y}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \quad V=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)
$$

The criterion for the stability of motion for the $y$-degree of freedom is that the potential has to have a maximum in the $(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{V})$-plane.

Stability could be destroyed, if we include an extra interactive term into $V$. I will demonstrate that even in the presence of an interaction, stability can be preserved.

Presence of interactions

## Classical Oscillator

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}^{2}-\dot{y}^{2}\right)-V, \quad V=\frac{\omega}{2}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+V_{1}
$$

Equation of motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{|l}
\ddot{x}+\omega^{2} x+\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial x}=0 \\
\ddot{y}+\omega^{2} y-\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial x}=0
\end{array} \\
& V_{1}=\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { As an example we will study } \\
\text { this form of interaction }
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\ddot{x}+\omega^{2} x+\lambda x\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)=0 \\
\ddot{y}+\omega^{2} y+\lambda y\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)=0
\end{array} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$


sol $=\operatorname{NDSolve}\left[\left\{x^{\prime \prime}[t]+x[t]+0.1 * x[t] *(x[t] \wedge 2-y[t] \wedge 2)=0\right.\right.$,


```
    {x,Yy, {t, 3000}]
```




Calculations executed with Mathematica, by using NDSolve and ParametricPlot
sol $=\mathrm{NDSolve}\left[\left\{\mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{t}]+\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]+0.1 * \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}] *(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}] \wedge 2-\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{t}] \wedge 2)=0\right.\right.$,
$\left.y^{\prime \prime}[t]+y[t]+0.1 * y[t] *(x[t] \wedge 2-y[t] \wedge 2)=0, x^{\prime}[0]=1, y^{\prime}[0]==0, x[0]=0, y[0]=1\right\}$.
$\{x, y\},\{t, 1000\}]$

sol $=\mathrm{NDSolve}\left[\left\{\mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}[\mathrm{t}]+\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]+0.1 * \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}] *(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}] \wedge 2-\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{t}] \wedge 2)=0\right.\right.$.
$\left.y^{\prime \prime}[t]+y[t]+0.1 * y[t] *(x[t] \wedge 2-y[t] \wedge 2)=0, x^{\prime}[0]=1, y^{\prime}[0]=-1.2, x[0]=0, y[0]=0.5\right\}$. $\{x, y\},\{t, 3000\}]$



Calculations executed with Mathematica, by using NDSolve and ParametricPlot
sol = MDSolve $\left[\left(x^{*}[\mathrm{t}]+1.01 \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]+1.01 * 0.1 * \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}] *\left(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]{ }^{*} 2-\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{t}] \mathrm{N}^{2}\right)=0\right.\right.$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
Y^{*}[t]+Y[t]+0.1 * Y[t \\
Y^{[ }[0]=0, x[0]=0,
\end{array} \quad \ddot{x}+1.01 x+1.01 \times 0.1 x\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$



Plot[Evaluate[x'[t]^2/2/. sol],
(t, 0, 400), PlotRange-> A11]

sol $=$ NDSolve $\left(\mathrm{X}^{*}[\mathrm{t}]+1.0001 \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]+1.0001 * 0.1 * \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}] *\left(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]^{*} 2-\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{t}]^{\mathrm{m}} 2\right)=0\right.$. $Y^{\prime \prime}[t]+Y[t]+0.1 * Y[t] *\left(x[t]^{*} 2-Y[t]^{*} 2\right)=0, X^{\prime}[0]=1$,
$\left.\left.Y^{\prime}[0]=0, x[0]=0, Y[0]=1\right),(x, Y),(t, 1000)\right]$



Plot[Evaluate[x'[t]^2/2 /. sol],
(t, 0, 777), PlotRange $->$ A11]

sol = MDSolve $\left[\left(x^{*}[t]+1.01 x[t]+1.01 * 0.1 * x[t] *(x[t] * 2-y[t] * 2)=0 ;\right.\right.$
$Y^{*}[\mathrm{t}]+\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{t}]+0.1 * \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{t}] *\left(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{t}]^{\wedge} 2\right)=0, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}[0]=1$,
$\left.\left.Y^{\prime}[0]=0, \mathrm{x}[0]=0, \mathrm{y}[0]=1\right),(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}),(\mathrm{t}, 1000)\right]$


Plot[Evaluate[x'[t] $\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2 / 2 / . \operatorname{sol}\right]$,
( $\mathrm{t}, 0,400$ ), Plotrange -> A11]

sol $=$ NDSolve $\left(\mathrm{X}^{*}[\mathrm{t}]+1.0001 \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]+1.0001 * 0.1 * \mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}] *\left(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{t}]^{*} 2-\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{t}]^{\mathrm{m}} 2\right)=0\right.$. $\left.Y^{*}[t]+Y[t]+0.1 * Y[t] *(x[t]]^{*} 2-Y[t] 2\right)=0, X^{\prime}[0]=1$,
$\left.\left.Y^{\prime}[0]=0, x[0]=0, Y[0]=1\right),(x, Y),(t, 1000)\right]$


(t, 0, 777), PlotRange -> A11]


Stueckelberg action in higher dimensions (also the Schild action)

$$
I=\frac{1}{2} \int d \tau g_{\mu \nu} \dot{X}^{\mu} \dot{X}^{v} \quad \mu, v=0,1,2, \ldots, D-1
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{\mu \nu} \dot{X}^{\mu} \dot{X}^{\nu}=\gamma_{a b} \dot{X}^{a} \dot{X}^{b}+\frac{\dot{X}_{0}^{2}}{g_{00}} \\
\gamma_{a b}=g_{a b}-\frac{g_{0 a} g_{0 b}}{g_{00}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
I=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int d \tau \gamma_{a b} \dot{X}^{a} \dot{X}^{b}+\frac{\dot{X}_{0}^{2}}{g_{00}}\right)
$$

If $g_{\mu \nu, 0}=0$, then $\dot{X}_{0}^{2}$
is a constant of motion
$a, b=1,2, \ldots, D-1$
Signature $(r, s)$
$r+s=D-1$
We take $r=s$

Equations of motion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}^{a}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial X^{r}}=0 \\
\ddot{X}^{a}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{C}{g_{00}^{2}} g_{00, b} \gamma^{a b}=0 \\
V=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{X}_{0}^{2}}{g_{00}}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{C}{g_{00}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\ddot{X}^{a}+V_{, b} \gamma^{a b}=0
$$

This corresponds to the equations of motion on the previous slide

A realistic potential is bounded from above and below. It does not go to infinity.
As an example let us consider the system:

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}^{2}-\dot{y}^{2}\right)-V, \quad V=\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\sin ^{2} x-\sin ^{2} y+\lambda_{1} \sin x \sin y\right)
$$

## Positive, negative

 kinetic energyEquations of motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{x}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(2 \sin x \cos x+\lambda_{1} \cos x \sin y\right)=0 \\
& \ddot{y}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(-2 \sin y \cos y+\lambda_{1} \sin x \cos y\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$



```
sol = NDSolve[{x"[t] + 1*(2 Cos[x[t]] Sin[x[t]] + 0.1* 䅇 [x[t]] Sin[y[t]]) == 0,
```



```
    x[0] =2 2.50, y[0] = - 0.50}, {x,y}, {t, 1000}]
```

        Parametricplot [Evaluate \([\{x[t], y[t]\} /\). sol],
    

A trajectory in ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ )-space when the initial speed is not too high
sol $=\operatorname{NDSolve}\left[\left\{x^{\prime \prime}[t]+1 *(2 \operatorname{Cos}[x[t]] \operatorname{Sin}[x[t]]+0.1 * \operatorname{Cos}[x[t]] \operatorname{Sin}[y[t]])=0\right.\right.$,
$y^{\prime \prime}[t]-1 *(0.1 * \operatorname{Cos}[y[t]] \operatorname{Sin}[x[t]]-2 \operatorname{Cos}[y[t]] \operatorname{Sin}[y[t]])=0, x^{\prime}[0]=1, y^{\prime}[0]=0.9$, $x[0]=2.50, y[0]=-0.50\},\{x, y\},\{t, 1000\}]$


A trajectory for an increased speed
$y^{\prime \prime}[t]-1 *(0.1 * \operatorname{Cos}[y[t]] \operatorname{Sin}[x[t]]-\operatorname{Cos}[y[t]] \operatorname{Sin}[y[t]])=0, x^{\prime}[0]=1, y^{\prime}[0]=0.9$,
$x[0]=2.5, y[0]=-0.505\},\{x, y\},\{t, 1000\}]$


The position is not bounded, the trajectory can run into infinity. The velocity remains finite.

## Quantum Field Theory

## A system of scalar fields

Action
Metric in the space of fields $\phi^{a}$

$$
a=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

$$
\pi_{a}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_{0} \phi^{a}}=\partial^{0} \phi_{a}=\partial_{0} \phi_{a} \equiv \dot{\phi}_{a} \quad \text { canonical momenta } \quad \mu, \nu=0,1,2,3
$$

Upon quantization, the following equal time commutation relations are satisfied:

$$
\left[\phi^{a}(\mathbf{x}), \pi_{b}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right]=i \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \delta^{a}{ }_{b}
$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{3} x\left(\dot{\phi}^{a} \phi^{b}-\partial_{i} \phi^{a} \partial^{i} \phi^{b}+m^{2} \phi^{a} \phi^{b}\right) \gamma_{a b} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi^{a}=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{k}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}\left(a^{a}(\mathbf{k}) \mathrm{e}^{-i k x}+a^{a \dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \mathrm{e}^{i k x}\right) \\
{\left[a^{a}(\mathbf{k}), a^{b \dagger}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]=(2 \pi)^{3} 2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{a b}}
\end{array}\right. \\
& H=\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}\left(a^{a \dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a^{b}(\mathbf{k})+a^{a}(\mathbf{k}) a^{b \dagger}(\mathbf{k})\right) \gamma_{a b} \\
& H=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{k}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}\left(a^{\bar{a} \dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a_{\bar{a}}(\mathbf{k})+a^{\underline{a}}(\mathbf{k}) a_{\underline{a}}{ }^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{k} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \delta^{3}(0)(r-s) \quad a^{a}=\left(a^{\bar{a}}, a^{\underline{a}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If signature has equal number of plus and minus signs, i.e., if $r=s$, then the zero point energies cancel out from the Hamiltonian ${ }^{1}$.

## Generalization to Clifford Space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi=\phi^{A} \gamma_{A} \quad \text { Clifford algebra-valued field } \\
& I=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}\left(g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{4} \partial_{\nu} \phi^{B}-m^{2} \phi^{A} \phi^{B}\right) G_{A B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Signature $(R, S)$ with $R=S$

Using the Cangemi-Jackiw-Zwiebach definition of vacuum, and following the same procedure as before, we obtain that the zero point energies cancel out:

Vacuum energy vanishes.

See illuminating discussion by R. P. Woodard, Lect. Notes Phys. 720, 403 (2007) [astro-ph/0601672].

Therefore, in such theory there is no cosmological constant problem. The small observed cosmological constant could be a residual effect of something else.

Cancellation of vacuum energies in this theory does not exclude the existence of the well known vacuum effects, such as the Casimir effect.

## Interacting quantum fields

Example: scalar fields

$$
I=\int d x^{4} \frac{1}{2}\left[g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\nu} \varphi^{b} \gamma_{a b}-V(\varphi)\right]
$$

## Fock space basis

$$
|P\rangle=\left|p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\rangle
$$

$H$ is the Hamilton operator corresponding to the field action
$\langle P \mid \Psi(t)\rangle=\sum_{P^{\prime}}\langle P| e^{-i H\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\left|P^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle P^{\prime} \mid \Psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle$
$\longleftarrow \quad\left|\Psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle=|0\rangle \quad$ vacuum

Such transition is possible, because $\langle P|$ contains particles with positive and negative energies.

Vacuum decays into a superposition of many particle states:

$$
|\Psi(t)\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\rangle\langle\underbrace{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}|\Psi(t)\rangle}
$$

The amplitude that we will measure the multi particle state $\left|p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\rangle$

## Generalized field action

We will write the usual field action

$$
I=\int d x^{4}\left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\nu} \varphi^{b} \gamma_{a b}-V(\varphi)\right]
$$

in a more compact notation:

Integration over the repeated continuous "index" $(x)$ is implied here.
$I=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a(x)} \partial_{\nu} \varphi^{b\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \gamma_{a(x) b\left(x^{\prime}\right)}^{\mu v}-U[\varphi]$
This comes from a higher dimensional action:
Kaluza-Klein split of the metric

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{A(x)} \partial_{\nu} \varphi^{B\left(x^{\prime}\right)} G^{\mu \nu}{ }_{A(x) B\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \\
& G^{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma^{\mu \nu}{ }_{a b}+A_{a}^{\bar{A}} A_{b}^{\bar{B}} \phi^{\mu \nu}{ }_{\bar{A} \bar{B}}, & A_{a}^{\bar{B}} \phi^{\mu \nu}{ }_{\bar{A} \bar{B}} \\
A_{b}^{\bar{B}} \phi^{\mu \nu}{ }_{\bar{B} \bar{B}}, & \phi^{\mu \nu}{ }_{\bar{A} \bar{B}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$I_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a(x)} \partial_{\nu} \varphi^{b\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \gamma^{\mu \nu}{ }_{a(x) b\left(x^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi_{\bar{A}} \partial_{\nu} \varphi_{\bar{B}} \phi^{\mu \nu \bar{A} \bar{B}}$

$$
-U[\varphi]
$$

Total action:

$$
I[\varphi, G]=I_{\varphi}+I_{G}
$$

Since the metric $G^{\mu \nu}{ }_{A B}$ is dynamical, the potential $U[\varphi]$ is not fixed, but it changes with evolution of the system.

## Clifford algebra and spinors in Minkowski space

## Witt basis

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{3}\right), & \theta_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{1}+i \gamma_{2}\right), \\
\bar{\theta}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{0}-\gamma_{3}\right), & \overline{\theta_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{1}-i \gamma_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The new basis vectors satisfy

## Fermionic anticommutation relations

$$
\left\{\theta_{a}, \bar{\theta}_{b}\right\}=\eta_{a b}, \quad\left\{\theta_{a}, \theta_{b}\right\}=0, \quad\left\{\bar{\theta}_{a}, \bar{\theta}_{b}\right\}=0
$$

We now observe that the product

$$
f=\bar{\theta}_{1} \bar{\theta}_{2}
$$

satisfies

$$
\bar{\theta}_{a} f=0, \quad a=1,2
$$

$f$ can be interpreted as 'vacuum', and $\bar{\theta}_{a}$ can be interpreted as operators that annihilate $f$.

An object constructed as a superposition

$$
\Psi=\left(\psi^{0} \underline{1}+\psi^{1} \theta_{1}+\psi^{2} \theta_{2}+\psi^{12} \theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right) f
$$

is a 4-component spinor.

## Four independent spinors

Four different possible vacua:

$$
f_{1}=\bar{\theta}_{1} \bar{\theta}_{2}, \quad f_{2}=\theta_{1} \theta_{2}, \quad f_{3}=\theta_{1} \bar{\theta}_{2}, \quad f_{4}=\bar{\theta}_{1} \theta_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\theta}_{1} f_{1}=0, & \bar{\theta}_{2} f_{1}=0, \\
\theta_{1} f_{2}=0, & \theta_{2} f_{2}=0, \text { etc. }
\end{array}
$$

Four different kinds of spinors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi^{1}=\left(\psi^{11} \underline{1}+\psi^{21} \theta_{1} \theta_{2}+\psi^{31} \theta_{1}+\psi^{41} \theta_{2}\right) f_{1} \\
& \Psi^{2}=\left(\psi^{12} \underline{1}+\psi^{22} \bar{\theta}_{1} \bar{\theta}_{2}+\psi^{32} \bar{\theta}_{1}+\psi^{42} \bar{\theta}_{2}\right) f_{2} \\
& \Psi^{3}=\left(\psi^{13} \bar{\theta}_{1}+\psi^{23} \theta_{2}+\psi^{33} \underline{1}+\psi^{43} \bar{\theta}_{1} \theta_{2}\right) f_{3} \\
& \Psi^{4}=\left(\psi^{14} \theta_{1}+\psi^{24} \bar{\theta}_{2}+\psi^{34} \underline{1}+\psi^{44} \bar{\theta}_{1} \bar{\theta}_{2}\right) f_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of those spinors lives in a different minimal left ideal of $C l(1,3)$.

In general, complexified version

An arbitrary element of $C l(1,3)$ is the sum:

$$
\Phi=\Psi^{1}+\Psi^{2}+\Psi^{3}+\Psi^{4}=\psi^{\alpha i} \xi_{\alpha i} \equiv \psi^{\tilde{A}} \xi_{\tilde{A}}
$$

$$
\alpha=1,2,3,4 ; \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

$$
\xi_{\bar{A}} \equiv \xi_{\alpha i}=\left\{\underline{1} f_{1}, \theta_{1} \theta_{2} f_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{1} f_{4}, \bar{\theta}_{2} f_{4}, \underline{1} f_{4}, \bar{\theta}_{1} \theta_{2} f_{4}\right\},
$$

Matrix notation:


Generalized Dirac equation (Dirac-Kähler equation*)


Hamiltonian

$$
H=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x \bar{\psi}^{i}\left(-i \gamma^{r} \partial_{r}+m\right) \psi^{j} z_{i j}
$$

We expand $\psi^{i}$ in terms of the annihilation and creation operators

$$
H=\sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} m\left(b_{n}^{i \dagger}(\boldsymbol{p}) b_{n}^{j}(\boldsymbol{p})-d_{n}^{i}(\boldsymbol{p}) d_{n}^{j \dagger}\right) z_{i j}
$$

Index $i$ distinguishes the spinors of different left ideals of $C l(1,3)$.
Index $n=1,2$ is the usual one that distinguishes `spin up’ and `spin down’ states.

$$
\begin{cases}i=(\bar{i}, \underline{i}), \quad \bar{i}=1,2 ; \quad \underline{i}=3,4 & \text { We split the index } \\ b_{n}^{\bar{i}}|0\rangle=0, \quad d_{n}^{\bar{i}}|0\rangle=0 & \text { Annihilation operator acting on } \\ b_{n}^{\underline{i} \dagger}|0\rangle=0, \quad d_{n}^{\frac{i}{\dagger}}|0\rangle=0 & \text { the generalized Dirac vacuum }\end{cases}
$$

$H=\sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} m(b_{n}^{\bar{i} \dagger}(\boldsymbol{p}) b_{n}^{\bar{j}}(\boldsymbol{p})-b_{n}^{\underline{i}}(\boldsymbol{p}) b_{n}^{j^{j \dagger}}(\boldsymbol{p})+d_{n}^{\bar{i} \dagger}(\boldsymbol{p}) d_{n}^{\bar{j}}-d_{n}^{i}(\boldsymbol{p}) d_{n}^{j^{i \dagger}}(\boldsymbol{p})+\underbrace{\delta(\boldsymbol{0})\left(z^{i j}-z^{i j}\right.}) z_{i j}$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline\langle 0| H|0\rangle=0 \quad
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Vacuum expectation of } \\
& \text { this Hamiltonian is zero }
\end{aligned}
$$

This term vanishes

Each fermion $\psi^{i}$ couples to the corresponding gauge field. The Casimir force between two metalic plates, consisting of $\psi^{i}, \quad i=1$, is not expected to vanish in this general theory.
$\left\langle T^{00}\right\rangle=\langle H\rangle$ is the source of the gravitational field. Because $\langle 0| H|0\rangle=\langle 0| T^{00}|0\rangle=0$, the cosmological constant vanishes. There is no problem of the huge cosmological constant.

Besides resolving the problem of the cosmological constant, the Dirac-Kähler equation and its generalization* may provide a theoretical framework that could be used for the unification of fundamental particles and forces.

## Generalized Dirac field

$$
\psi=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\psi^{11} & \psi^{12} & \psi^{13} & \psi^{14} \\
\psi^{21} & \psi^{22} & \psi^{23} & \psi^{24} \\
\psi^{31} & \psi^{32} & \psi^{33} & \psi^{34} \\
\psi^{41} & \psi^{42} & \psi^{43} & \psi^{44}
\end{array}\right)
$$



Positive and negative energy states of the usual Dirac spinors do not mix in our Universe. Even if they did mix, the evolution of the Universe has led to the current situation with no mixing.

This was not so clear when Dirac proposed his theory.
Here is what Fermi wrote:

> It is well known that the most serious difficulty in Dirac's relativistic wave equation lies in the fact that it yields besides the normal positive states also negative ones, which have no physical significance. This would do no harm if no transition between positive and negative states were possible (as are, e.g., transitions between states with symmetrical and antisymmetrical wave function). But this is unfortunately not the case: Klein has shown by a very simple example that electrons impinging against a very high potential barrier have a finite probability of going over in a negative state.

It is well known that the most serious difficulty in Dirac's relativistic wave equation lies in the fact that it yields besides the normal positive states also negative ones, which have no physical significance. This would do no harm if no transition between positive and negative states were possible (as are, e.g., transitions between states with symmetrical and antisymmetrical wave function). But this is unfortunately not the case: Klein has shown by a very simple example that electrons impinging against a very high potential barrier have a finite probability of going over in a negative state.
E. Fermi, Rev. Mod. Phys., 4, 87 (1932)

This problem was resolved by the Dirac sea of negative energy particles.

Generalized Dirac field

$$
\psi=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\psi^{11} & \psi^{12} & \psi^{13} & \psi^{14} \\
\psi^{21} & \psi^{22} & \psi^{23} & \psi^{24} \\
\psi^{31} & \psi^{32} & \psi^{33} & \psi^{34} \\
\psi^{41} & \psi^{42} & \psi^{43} & \psi^{44}
\end{array}\right)
$$



Positive and negative energy states of the usual Dirac spinors do not mix in our Universe. Even if they did mix, the evolution of the Universe has leaded to the current situation with no mixing.

This was not so clear when Dirac proposed his theory.
Here is what Fermi wrote:


Dirac sea

During the evolution, the sea of type I negative and type II positive energy states have formed.

The existence of type I and type II Dirac spinors also should not be considered as a priori problematic.

## Clifford algebra description of fermionic fields

$$
\Psi=\psi^{r(x)} h_{r(x)} \quad r=1,2 ; \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad \text { or } x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}
$$

$$
h_{r(x)} \cdot h_{s\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=\rho_{r(x) s\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \quad \text { metric } \quad \rho_{r(x) s\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=\delta_{r s} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)
$$

New basis:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{(x)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(h_{1(x)}+i h_{2(x)}\right) \quad \text { Witt basis } \\
\bar{h}_{(x)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(h_{1(x)}-i h_{2(x)}\right) \\
h_{(x)} \cdot \bar{h}_{\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

components
$\underset{\sim}{\Psi}=\psi^{(x)} h_{(x)}+\bar{\psi}^{(x)} \bar{h}_{(x)}$

$$
\left.h_{(x)} \cdot h_{\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=\bar{h}_{(x)} \cdot \bar{h}_{\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=0\right\}
$$

Vacuum

$$
\Omega=\prod_{x} \bar{h}_{(x)} \quad \bar{h}_{(x)} \Omega=0
$$

$$
\Psi \Omega=\psi^{(x)} h_{(x)} \Omega \quad \text { The second part of } \Psi \text { disappears }
$$

$$
\Psi=\psi^{(x)} h_{(x)}+\bar{\psi}^{(x)} \bar{h}_{(x)}
$$

Let us consider a more general case:

$$
\Psi \Omega=\left(\psi_{0}+\psi^{(x)} h_{(x)}+\psi^{(x)\left(x^{\prime}\right)} h_{(x)} h_{\left(x^{\prime}\right)}+\ldots\right) \Omega
$$

This state is the infinite dimensional space analog of the spinor as an element of a left ideal of Clifford algebra
Other possible vacuums:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega=\prod_{x} h_{(x)}, \quad h_{(x)} \Omega=0 \\
& \Omega=\left(\prod_{x \in R_{1}} \bar{h}_{(x)}\right)\left(\prod_{x \in R_{2}} h_{(x)}\right), \\
& \quad \bar{h}_{\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \Omega=0, \quad h_{\left(x^{2}\right)} \Omega=\left(\prod_{\substack{x \in R \\
x \neq x_{i}^{\prime}}} \bar{h}_{(x)}\right)\left(\prod_{x \in R_{2}} h_{(x)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogous holds in momentum representation.

> "hole" at $x=x^{\prime}$

In a notation that is closer to the usual one, we have
$b_{n}^{\bar{i}}\left(p^{0}>0, \boldsymbol{p}\right), \quad d_{n}^{\bar{i}}\left(p^{0}<0, \boldsymbol{p}\right) \quad$ annihilate $\Omega$ $b_{n}^{i \dagger}\left(p^{0}<0, \boldsymbol{p}\right), \quad d_{n}^{i^{\dagger}}\left(p^{0}>0, \boldsymbol{p}\right)$

One particle Fock states:
$b_{n}^{\bar{i} \dagger} \Omega, \quad d_{n}^{\bar{i} \dagger} \Omega, \quad b_{n}^{i} \Omega, \quad d_{n}^{\bar{i}} \Omega, \ldots$, and all many particle states

Positive energies
$\Omega \xrightarrow{\text { decays }}$ A superposition of positive and negative energy states
The final state with infinitely many positive and negative energy particles of all types, is:

$$
\Psi(t)=b_{n_{1}}^{\bar{i} \dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) b_{n_{2}}^{\overline{\bar{L}} \dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}\right) \ldots d_{n_{1}}^{\overline{\bar{T}_{1}}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) d_{n_{2}}^{\overline{\mathrm{i}} \dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}\right) \ldots d_{n_{1}}^{\bar{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) d_{n_{2}}^{\overline{\bar{L}}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}\right) \ldots \Omega
$$

This state is the totally filled sea

The latter state is another kind of vacuum. It is also is `unstable' and can evolve into another state, with the holes in the sea.

In the Clifford algebra description, a vacuum is a sea formed with the field operators. A possible vacuum is the Dirac vacuum.

## Conclusion

We have generalized spacetime to Clifford space. Its metric is neutral, i.e., $(8,8)$.
The physics in spaces with extra time-like dimensions does not necessarily suffers from instabilities arising from the presence of negative energies.

We have demonstrated stability on the example of the classical oscillator for two cases:

- unequal "masses" of positive and negative energy components
- a potential that is bounded from below and from above

Because of the correspondence principle
this is also true for the quantized oscillator.
Field theories should be suitable generalized, so to include the kinetic term for the metric in the field space.
Then the corresponding field potential is not fixed, but changes during the evolution of the system.

Clifford algebra formulation of fermionic fields and vacuums brings novel insight into the evolution of such systems. A vacuum is a sea of operators.

Our findings have consequences for quantum gravity, if in higher derivative theories we take a realistic potential that does not go into positive or negative infinity.
Then there are no instabilities or ghosts.

This was demonstrated on Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator which is a model for a higher derivative theory:

Comments on the dynamics of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator
A.V. Smilga (SUBATECH, Nantes). Aug 2008. 15 pp.

Published in SIGMA 5 (2009) 017
Stable Self-Interacting Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator
Matej Pavšič. Feb 21, 2013. 15 pp.
Published in Mod.Phys.Lett. A28 (2013) 1350165
Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator with a Benign Friction Force
Matej Pavšič (Stefan Inst., Ljubljana). Apr 4, 2013. 3 pp.
Published in Phys.Rev. D87 (2013) 10, 107502
Some Comments on Ghosts and Unitarity: The Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator Revisited Ibrahim Burak Ilhan, Alex Kovner (Connecticut U.). Jan 2013. 12 pp.
Published in Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) 044045

Auxiliar slides (not presented in the talk)

The Hamilton form of the equations of motion ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{x}=\{x, H\}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{x}}=p_{x}, & \dot{y}=\{y, H\}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{y}}=-p_{y} \\
\dot{p}_{x}=\left\{p_{x}, H\right\}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}=-\omega^{2} x, & \dot{p}_{y}=\left\{p_{y}, H\right\}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}=\omega^{2} y
\end{array}
$$

Poisson brackets are defined as usual

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\left\{x, p_{x}\right\}=1, & \left\{y, p_{y}\right\}=1 \\
{\left[x, p_{x}\right]=i,} & {\left[y, p_{y}\right]=i}
\end{array}
$$

In the quantized theory we have commutators
Introducing ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{x}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\omega} x+\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p_{x}\right), \quad c_{x}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\omega} x-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p_{x}\right) \\
c_{y}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\omega} y+\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p_{y}\right), \quad c_{y}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\omega} y-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p_{y}\right)
\end{array}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[c_{x}, c_{x}^{\dagger}\right]=1, \quad\left[c_{y}, c_{y}^{\dagger}\right]=1,} \\
{\left[c_{x}, c_{y}\right]=\left[c_{x}^{\dagger}, c_{y}^{\dagger}\right]=0}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \omega\left(c_{x}^{\dagger} c_{x}+c_{x} c_{x}^{\dagger}-c_{y}^{\dagger} c_{y}-c_{y} c_{y}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hbar=\omega\left(c_{x}^{\dagger} c_{x}-c_{y}^{\dagger} c_{y}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

All states have positive norm, e.g.,:

$$
\langle 0| c c^{\dagger}|0\rangle=\langle 0|\left[c, c^{\dagger}\right]|0\rangle=\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle=\int \psi^{2} d x d y=1
$$

Using $\quad p_{x}=-i \partial / \partial x, \quad p_{y}=-i \partial / \partial y$ and writing $\langle x, y \mid 0\rangle \equiv \psi_{0}(x, y)$ we have $\quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\omega} x+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \psi_{0}(x, y)=0$

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\omega} y+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \psi_{0}(x, y)=0
$$

$$
\psi_{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \omega\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}
$$

Normalization: $\quad \int \psi_{0}^{2} d x d y=1$

Generalization to $M_{r, s}$
signature $(r, s), \quad a, b=1,2, \ldots, r+s$
Procedure with generalizing the operators $c_{x}, c_{x}^{\dagger}, c_{y}, c_{y}{ }^{\dagger}$ of the 2-dimensional case ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
L=\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^{a} \dot{x}_{a}-\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2} x^{a} x_{a}
$$

$$
p_{a}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{a}}=\dot{x}_{a}=\eta_{a b} \dot{x}^{b}
$$

Upon quantization:

$$
\left[x^{a}, p_{b}\right]=i \delta_{b}^{a} \quad \text { or } \quad\left[x^{a}, p^{b}\right]=i \eta^{a b}
$$

## A.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{a}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\omega} x^{a}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p_{a}\right) \\
c^{a \dagger}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\omega} x^{a}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p_{a}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \omega\left(c_{a}^{\dagger} c^{a}+c^{a} c_{a}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

$$
\left[c^{a}, c^{b \dagger}\right]=\delta^{a b}
$$

$$
c^{a}|0\rangle=0
$$

$$
c^{a} c_{a}^{\dagger}=\eta_{a b} c^{a} c^{b \dagger}=\eta_{a b}\left(c^{b \dagger} c^{a}+\delta^{a b}\right)
$$

$$
=c^{a \dagger} c_{a}+r-s
$$

$$
H=\omega\left(c_{a}^{\dagger} c^{a}+\frac{r}{2}-\frac{s}{2}\right)
$$

Procedure with an alternative definition of creation and annihilation operators ${ }^{2}$ :

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} p^{a} p_{a}+\frac{1}{2} \omega^{2} x^{a} x_{a}
$$

B.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a^{a}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\omega} x^{a}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p^{a}\right) \\
a^{a \dagger}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\omega} x^{a}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\omega}} p^{a}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \omega\left(a^{a \dagger} a_{a}+a_{a} a^{a \dagger}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[a^{a}, a_{b}^{\dagger}\right]=\delta_{b}^{a} \quad \text { or } \quad\left[a^{a}, a^{b \dagger}\right]=\eta^{a b}} \\
& \text { I. } a^{a}|0\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
H=\omega\left(a^{a \dagger} a_{a}+\frac{r}{2}+\frac{s}{2}\right)
$$


II. $a^{a}=\left(a^{\bar{a}}, a^{\underline{a}}\right)$

$$
a^{\bar{a}}|0\rangle=0, \quad a^{\underline{a} \dagger}|0\rangle=0
$$

$$
H=\omega\left(a^{\bar{\dagger} \dagger} a_{\bar{a}}+a_{\underline{a}} a^{a \dot{\dagger}}+\frac{r}{2}-\frac{s}{2}\right)
$$

In Case $A$, the creation and annihilation operators are superpositions of the coordinates $x^{a}$ and the covariant components of momenta $p_{a}$. In Case B, the creation and annihilation operators are superpositions of the coordinates $x^{a}$ and the contravariant components of momenta $p^{a}$.

In Case B, there are two possible definitions of vacuum:

## Possibility I.

This is the usual definition $\quad a^{a}|0\rangle=0$. The eigenvalues of

$$
H=\omega\left(a^{a \dagger} a_{a}+\frac{r}{2}+\frac{s}{2}\right)
$$

are all positive. There exist negative norm states or ghosts.

Possibility I All energies are positive. Negative norms.

Possibiilty II.
This is the Cangemi-Jackiw-Zwiebach definition ${ }^{3}$

$$
a^{\bar{a}}|0\rangle=0, \quad a^{a \dagger}|0\rangle=0, \quad \bar{a}=1,2, \ldots, r, \quad \underline{a}=1,2, \ldots, s
$$

Possibility II
Positive and negative energies. No negative norms.
can be positive or negative. The are no negative norm states. The presence of negative energies does not automatically imply instability of the system.

If $r=s$, then the zero point energy vanishes.

## Quantum oscillator



We will investigate the case:
$V(x, y)=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\left(1-e^{-\varepsilon\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)}\right)$

Plot $3 \mathrm{D}\left[(1 / 2) * \operatorname{Sign}\left[x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2\right] *\left(1-\operatorname{Exp}\left[-\mathrm{Abs}\left[x^{2}-y^{2}\right]\right]\right),\{x,-7,7\},\{y,-7,7\}\right.$,
PlotRange ->All]


Plot3D[Abs[ff $[0, x, y]] \wedge 2,\{x,-4,4\},\{y,-4,4\}$, PlotPoints $\rightarrow 50$, PlotRange $\rightarrow$ All $]$


$$
\psi=\sum_{m, n=0}^{4} c_{m n}(t) \psi_{m n}
$$

Initial condition
$c_{00}(0)=1$, the other coefficients $=0$

Plot3D[Abs[ff[4, $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{f} \wedge 2,\{\mathrm{X},-4,4\},\{\mathrm{y},-4,4\}$, PlotPoints $\rightarrow 50$, PlotRange $\rightarrow \mathrm{Alll}]$


Plot3D[Abs[ff[5, $x, y]]_{\wedge} 2,\{x,-4,4\},\{Y,-4,4\}$, PlotPoints $\rightarrow 50$, PlotRange $\rightarrow$ All $]$


$$
t=5
$$

Plot3D[Abs $[f[0, x, y]] \wedge 2,\{x,-4,4\},\{y,-4 ; 4\}$, PlotRange $->$ All]


Plot $3 \mathrm{D}[\mathrm{Abs}[\mathrm{f}[0.5, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Y}] \mathrm{A} 2,\{\mathrm{x},-4,4\},\{\mathrm{y},-4,4\}$, PlotRange $\rightarrow \mathrm{All}]$ $t=0.5$


Plot3D[Abs [f[0.7, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]] \wedge 2,\{\mathrm{x},-4,4\},\{\mathrm{y},-4,4\}$, PlotRange ->All]


$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{01}(0)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& c_{10}(0)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Plot $3 \mathrm{D}[\mathrm{Abs}[\mathrm{E}[1, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{A} \wedge,\{\mathrm{x},-4,4\},\{y,-4,4\}$, PlotRange $\rightarrow \mathrm{All}]$


Plot3D[Abs $[\mathrm{f}[1.8, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{A} \wedge 2 ;\{\mathrm{x},-4,4\},\{y,-4,4\}$, PlotRange $->$ All $]$ $t=1.8$


Plot3D[Abs $[\mathrm{E}[3.5, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{A} \wedge 2 ;\{\mathrm{x},-4,4\},\{y,-4,4\}$, PlotRange $->$ All $]$ $t=3.5$


Plot $3 \mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{Abs}[\mathrm{f}[4, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]]^{\wedge} 2,\{\mathrm{X},-4,4\},\{Y,-4,4\}\right.$, PlotRange $\left.->\mathrm{All}\right]$


Plot 3D [Abs $[f[5, x, y]] \wedge 2,\{x,-4,4\},\{y,-4,4\}$, PlotRange $\rightarrow$ All $]$ $t=5$



Plot3D[Abs $[\mathrm{F}[8, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}] \mathrm{A} \wedge 2,\{\mathrm{x},-4,4\},\{\mathrm{y},-4,4\}$, PlotRange -> All]


Plot 3D [Abs $[f[25, x, y]] \wedge 2,\{x,-4,4\},\{y,-4,4\}$, PlotRange -> All $]$
$t=25$


Plot3D[Abs[f[500, $x, y]] \wedge 2,\{x,-4,4\},\{y,-4,4\}$, PlotRange ->All]


Plot3D[Abs [f[700, $x, y]] \wedge 2,\{x,-4,4\},\{Y,-4,4\}$, PlotRange -> All] $t=700$


Plot $[\mathrm{Abs}[\mathrm{f}[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, 1,1]] \wedge 2$, $\mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}, 0,50\}$, PlotRange $\rightarrow \mathrm{All}]$


Plot [Abs[E[tt, 1, 1]]^2, \{tt, 0, 900\}, PlotRange $\rightarrow$ All] 0.10 (
time

## Interacting quantum fields

Example: scalar fields

$$
I=\int d x^{4} \frac{1}{2}\left[g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\nu} \varphi^{b} \gamma_{a b}-V(\varphi)\right]
$$

## Fock space basis

Upon quantization:

$$
|\Psi\rangle=\sum|P\rangle\langle P \mid \Psi\rangle
$$

$$
|\Psi(t)\rangle=e^{-i H\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\left|\Psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle \quad H \text { is the Hamilton operator }
$$corresponding to the field action

$\langle P \mid \Psi(t)\rangle=\sum_{P^{\prime}}\langle P| e^{-i H\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\left|P^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle P^{\prime} \mid \Psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle$
$\longleftarrow \quad\left|\Psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle=|0\rangle \quad$ vacuum

Such transition is possible, because $\langle P|$ contains particles with positive and negative energies.

Vacuum decays int

$$
|\Psi(t)\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mid p_{1}, p_{2}, .
$$

$$
\sum_{p_{1}}\left|\left\langle p_{1} \mid \Psi\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}}\left|\left\langle p_{1}, p_{2} \mid \Psi\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}}\left|\left\langle p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} \mid \Psi\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\ldots=1
$$

Probabilities that vacuum decays into any of the states $\left|p_{1}\right\rangle,\left|p_{1}, p_{2}\right\rangle,\left|p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\rangle, \ldots$, are not drastically different.

