

A LETTERS JOURNAL EXPLORING THE FRONTIERS OF PHYSICS

OFFPRINT

Overscreening in a 1D lattice Coulomb gas model of ionic liquids

V. DÉMERY, D. S. DEAN, T. C. HAMMANT, R. R. HORGAN and R. PODGORNIK

EPL, **97** (2012) 28004

Please visit the new website www.epljournal.org

A Letters Journal Exploring the Frontiers of Physics AN INVITATION TO

AN INVITATION TO SUBMIT YOUR WORK

www.epljournal.org

The Editorial Board invites you to submit your letters to EPL

EPL is a leading international journal publishing original, high-quality Letters in all areas of physics, ranging from condensed matter topics and interdisciplinary research to astrophysics, geophysics, plasma and fusion sciences, including those with application potential.

The high profile of the journal combined with the excellent scientific quality of the articles continue to ensure EPL is an essential resource for its worldwide audience. EPL offers authors global visibility and a great opportunity to share their work with others across the whole of the physics community.

Run by active scientists, for scientists

EPL is reviewed by scientists for scientists, to serve and support the international scientific community. The Editorial Board is a team of active research scientists with an expert understanding of the needs of both authors and researchers.



www.epljournal.org

A Letters Journal Exploring the Frontiers of Physics







publication in 2010



citations in 2010 37% increase from 2007

"We've had a very positive experience with EPL, and not only on this occasion. The fact that one can identify an appropriate editor, and the editor is an active scientist in the field, makes a huge difference."

Dr. Ivar Martinv Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA

Six good reasons to publish with EPL

We want to work with you to help gain recognition for your high-quality work through worldwide visibility and high citations.



Quality – The 40+ Co-Editors, who are experts in their fields, oversee the entire peer-review process, from selection of the referees to making all final acceptance decisions



Impact Factor – The 2010 Impact Factor is 2.753; your work will be in the right place to be cited by your peers



Speed of processing – We aim to provide you with a quick and efficient service; the median time from acceptance to online publication is 30 days



High visibility – All articles are free to read for 30 days from online publication date



International reach – Over 2,000 institutions have access to EPL, enabling your work to be read by your peers in 100 countries

2	Op
0	рау

Open Access – Articles are offered open access for a one-off author payment

Details on preparing, submitting and tracking the progress of your manuscript from submission to acceptance are available on the EPL submission website **www.epletters.net**.

If you would like further information about our author service or EPL in general, please visit **www.epljournal.org** or e-mail us at **info@epljournal.org**.

EPL is published in partnership with:







FDP Sciences



European Physical Society

Società Italiana di Fisica Società Italiana di Fisica

IOP Publishing



A LETTERS JOURNAL EXPLORING THE FRONTIERS OF PHYSICS

EPL Compilation Index

www.epljournal.org





Biaxial strain on lens-shaped quantum rings of different inner radii, adapted from **Zhang et al** 2008 EPL **83** 67004.



Artistic impression of electrostatic particle–particle interactions in dielectrophoresis, adapted from **N Aubry** and **P Singh** 2006 *EPL* **74** 623.



Artistic impression of velocity and normal stress profiles around a sphere that moves through a polymer solution, adapted from **R Tuinier, J K G Dhont and T-H Fan** 2006 *EPL* **75** 929.

Visit the EPL website to read the latest articles published in cutting-edge fields of research from across the whole of physics.

Each compilation is led by its own Co-Editor, who is a leading scientist in that field, and who is responsible for overseeing the review process, selecting referees and making publication decisions for every manuscript.

- Graphene
- Liquid Crystals
- High Transition Temperature Superconductors
- Quantum Information Processing & Communication
- Biological & Soft Matter Physics
- Atomic, Molecular & Optical Physics
- Bose–Einstein Condensates & Ultracold Gases
- Metamaterials, Nanostructures & Magnetic Materials
- Mathematical Methods
- Physics of Gases, Plasmas & Electric Fields
- High Energy Nuclear Physics

If you are working on research in any of these areas, the Co-Editors would be delighted to receive your submission. Articles should be submitted via the automated manuscript system at **www.epletters.net**

If you would like further information about our author service or EPL in general, please visit **www.epljournal.org** or e-mail us at **info@epljournal.org**



Image: Ornamental multiplication of space-time figures of temperature transformation rules (adapted from T. S. Bíró and P. Ván 2010 *EPL* **89** 30001; artistic impression by Frédérique Swist).



Overscreening in a 1D lattice Coulomb gas model of ionic liquids

V. DÉMERY¹, D. S. DEAN^{1,2}, T. C. HAMMANT³, R. R. HORGAN³ and R. PODGORNIK⁴

¹ Laboratoire de Physique Théorique (IRSAMC), Université de Toulouse, UPS and CNRS F-31062 Toulouse, France, EU

² Université de Bordeaux, Laboratoire Ondes et Matière d'Aquitaine (LOMA), UMR 5798 F-33400 Talence, France, EU

³ DAMTP CMS - Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, UK, EU

⁴ Department of Theoretical Physics, J. Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana - SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, EU

received 17 October 2011; accepted 29 November 2011 published online 4 January 2012

PACS 82.45.Gj – Electrolytes
PACS 05.20.Jj – Statistical mechanics of classical fluids
PACS 88.80.fh – Supercapacitors

Abstract – Overscreening in the charge distribution of ionic liquids at electrified interfaces is shown to proceed from purely electrostatic and steric interactions in an exactly soluble onedimensional lattice Coulomb gas model. Being not a mean-field effect, our results suggest that even in higher-dimensional systems the overscreening could be accounted for by a more accurate treatment of the basic lattice Coulomb gas model, that goes beyond the mean-field level of approximation, without any additional interactions.

Copyright © EPLA, 2012

Room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) are Coulomb fluids with large, asymmetric ions, and are used as electrolytes in fuel and solar cells, batteries and supercapacitors, to name but a few important applications [1]. As pointed out in several seminal contributions by Kornyshev [2], the size of the ionic species leads in general to crowding and lattice saturation, thus engendering a fundamentally different behavior of ionic liquids at charged interfaces as compared to aqueous electrolytes. In some particular cases several aspects of this behavior can be captured on a mean-field level by the lattice Coulomb gas (LCG) model [2,3].

Steric effects stipulate that the capacitance of ionic liquids decays at large applied voltages while at the point of zero charge (PZC) it can exhibit a maximum as well as a minimum (and is thus a non-monotonic function of applied voltage) depending on the lattice packing fraction [4], whereas for dilute electrolytes the capacitance at PZC is always a minimum [2]. X-ray reflectivity [5], SFA [6] and AFM [7] studies at electrified interfaces reveal an alternating charge distribution starting with an *overscreening* cationic layer, at the negatively charged substrate, which decays roughly exponentially into the bulk liquid with a periodicity comparable with the size of ionic species [8]. This observed charge layering is expected to be a generic feature of RTILs at charged interfaces resulting from an interplay between steric effects and strong electrostatic coupling. While the dependence of the differential capacity can be predicted within the meanfield solution of the LCG model [4], the overscreening and alternate charge layering at an electrified interface cannot.

In order to explain overcharging and charge oscillations, Bazant et al. [9] proposed a phenomenological theory based on a Landau-Ginzburg-like functional containing the standard LCG free energy [10] but with an additional higher-order potential-gradient term, similar to what is found in Cahn-Hilliard models, and then solving it on a mean-field level. However, this higher-order gradient term can also be seen [11] as stemming from a decomposition of the Coulomb interaction into a long-distance mean-field-like component and a non-mean-field strongcoupling component [12]. This alternative interpretation motivates a more detailed non-mean-field analysis of the original Kornyshev LCG model in order to go beyond the limitations of the mean-field approximation. We thus propose an exact analysis, albeit in one dimension, that demonstrates the full physical phenomenology of the LCG model, and in particular shows that overscreening emerges naturally from this model without the introduction of any new physical interactions.

We solve exactly the statistical mechanics of a onedimensional LCG in a standard capacitor configuration, with a method that generalizes other treatments of the point-like, or continuous, one-dimensional Coulomb gas models extensively used in the study of electrolytes [13]. We consider a system where charges q (cations) or -q(anions) are located on a line at lattice points with lattice spacing a and a total size of M points. The Hamiltonian for one-dimensional Coulomb interactions (corresponding to sheets of charge in 3D) is

$$\beta \mathcal{H} = -\frac{\gamma}{4} \sum_{i,j=0}^{M-1} |i-j| S_i S_j \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\beta q^2 a}{\epsilon \epsilon_0}$ is the ratio of the electrostatic over thermal energy, or equivalently of the lattice spacing over the (1D) Bjerrum length $\ell_B = \beta q^2 / \epsilon \epsilon_0$. Here S_i is a classical spin variable taking the value $S_i = 1$ if there is a cation at lattice site $i, S_i = -1$, if there is an anion, and $S_i = 0$ if the site is unoccupied. We will impose overall electroneutrality on the system, stipulating that $\sum_i S_i = 0$. The grand canonical partition function can then be written as

$$\Xi = \operatorname{Tr}_{S_i} \left[\mu^{\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} |S_i|} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \exp\left(\beta \mathcal{H}[S_i] + i\psi \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} S_i\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{2\pi} \right],\tag{2}$$

where μ is the fugacity of both anions and cations, assuming for simplicity that the ionic liquid is symmetric. Carrying out a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, the grand canonical partition function can be expressed as a path integral over a field ϕ_j on the lattice, while the integral over ψ corresponds to the integral over ϕ_0 , but runs over an interval of length 2π . The partition function then assumes the form

$$\Xi = \int \prod_{j=0}^{M-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_j}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma}} \exp(-\mathcal{S}[\phi]) \,, \tag{3}$$

where

$$S[\phi] = \sum_{j=0}^{M-2} \frac{(\phi_{j+1} - \phi_j)^2}{2\gamma} - \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \log(1 + 2\mu \cos(\phi_j)). \quad (4)$$

In the case where there are external charges on the boundaries of the system, +qQ on the site -1 and -qQ on the site M, *i.e.* in a capacitor configuration, we need to add $iQ(\phi_{-1} - \phi_M)$ to the action (4). The field ϕ can be identified with the fluctuating electrostatic potential *via* the relation $V = -i\phi/\beta q$. One can show that the saddle-point equation for the above functional integral, $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi_j}|_{\phi_{\rm MF}} = 0$, reduces to the mean-field equations of Kornyshev [2] and Borukhov *et al.* [10], if one takes the continuous limit ($a \rightarrow$ 0 with the maximal charge density q/a kept constant).

Writing $y_i = \phi_i$ and defining

$$p^{1/2}(y,y') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-y')^2}{\gamma}\right)$$
 (5)

we introduce the symmetric operator

$$K(y,y') = \int p^{1/2}(y,z)(1+2\mu\cos(z))p^{1/2}(z,y')\,\mathrm{d}z,\quad(6)$$

whose action is defined on a function f as $Kf(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' K(y, y') f(y')$. This allows us to write the grand potential Ω_Q at fixed external charges $\pm Q$ as

$$\exp(-\beta\Omega_Q) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}x \ e^{iQx} \left[p^{1/2} K^M p^{1/2} \right] e^{-iQx}, \quad (7)$$

which we can now write as

$$\exp(-\beta\Omega_Q) = \langle \psi_Q | K^M | \psi_Q \rangle. \tag{8}$$

by defining $\psi_Q(y) = p^{1/2} \exp(-iQy)$ and writing operator matrix elements in ket notation as

$$\langle f|D|g\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}x \ f^*(x)Dg(x), \tag{9}$$

for functions f and g and an operator D, and where f^* denotes the complex conjugate of f. If instead of fixing the charge at the boundaries one keeps fixed the potential difference between them, $\Delta V = \Delta \nu / \beta q$, it is easy to show that

$$\exp(-\beta\Omega_{\Delta\nu}) = \int d\sigma \exp(-\Delta\nu\sigma - \beta\Omega_{\sigma}), \qquad (10)$$

where $\Omega_{\Delta\nu}$ is now the grand potential at imposed external potential difference. It follows that the average charge and differential capacity both as a function of the imposed potential difference are given by

$$\langle Q \rangle_{\Delta\nu} = -\frac{\partial(\beta \Omega_{\Delta\nu})}{\partial \Delta\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{\Delta\nu} = \frac{\partial\langle Q \rangle_{\Delta\nu}}{\partial \Delta\nu}.$$
 (11)

To obtain the charge density we need to know the average charge number $\langle S_i \rangle$ on site *i*. Therefore, we just need to replace $1 + 2\mu \cos(y_i)$ with $i \ 2\mu \sin(y_i)$ in eq. (6), via a new operator

$$L(y,y') = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{1/2}(y,z) 2\mu \,\sin(z) p^{1/2}(z,y') \,\mathrm{d}z.$$
(12)

Putting charges Q and -Q on the left and right boundaries, the mean charge density on site i is then

$$\langle S_i \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_Q | K^i L K^{M-i-1} | \psi_Q \rangle}{\langle \psi_Q | K^M | \psi_Q \rangle}.$$
 (13)

In order to compute the thermodynamic quantities derived here, we need to evaluate the indicated matrix elements numerically. The domain of definition of the operators Kand L depends on the choice of the surface charge Q. We define the Q-dependent class of functions

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in Z-Q} \tilde{f}_k \exp(ikx), \tag{14}$$



Fig. 1: (Colour on-line) Dimensionless free enthalpy $\beta \mathcal{G}_M$ as a function of the system size M, for the fugacity $\mu = 100$: solid line: exact, Q = 5; dashed line: mean field, Q = 5; dash-dotted line: exact, Q = 0; dotted line: mean field, Q = 0.

where the set Z - Q is the set i - Q, where *i* is integer. Such functions are stable under the action of *K* and the action of *K* is given by

$$\widetilde{Kf}_{k} = e^{-\gamma k^{2}/4} \left[e^{-\gamma k^{2}/4} \tilde{f}_{k} + \mu \left(e^{-\gamma (k+1)^{2}/4} \tilde{f}_{k+1} + e^{-\gamma (k-1)^{2}/4} \tilde{f}_{k-1} \right) \right].$$
(15)

The action of the operator K and L, can thus be carried out numerically in this Fourier representation. Numerical computations are performed by truncating the Fourier components at a maximum wave vector k_{max} . This approximation is valid if $\gamma k_{\text{max}}^2 \gg 1$ which means that in the cases studied here we can take $k_{\text{max}} = 25$.

Numerically one can compute i) the grand potential of the system and the disjoining pressure giving the effective interaction between the two bounding layers; ii) the differential capacitance as a function of the voltage difference between the boundaries; and iii) the dependence of the charge density on the lattice position.

First, we look at the grand potential Ω as a function of the size of the system M for given charges $\pm Q$ on the boundaries. The discrete pressure on the boundaries is P = $\Omega_M - \Omega_{M+1}$ and tends to the bulk pressure P_b when Mis large. The actual force acting on the boundaries of the system is given by the disjoining pressure $P_d = P - P_b$ that follows from the free enthalpy $\mathcal{G}_M = \Omega_M + MP_b$, which is plotted in fig. 1. at high density and for different values of Q. The mean-field results are also shown for comparison.

We observe two salient features of the disjoining pressure: i) it is attractive when the system size is small and goes to zero for orders of magnitude M > 2Q ii) it exhibits oscillations of positive/negative pressure when Mis even/odd. When the size of the system is large, the boundary charges are completely screened by the ions, and the plates only feel the bulk pressure; when the boundary



Fig. 2: (Colour on-line) Capacitance as a function of the voltage drop for $\mu = 10$ and $\gamma = 1$: exact result (solid line) and mean-field result (dashed line).

layers are close to each other, not enough space is available for ions to screen the boundary charges and they thus attract each other because of their opposite charge. Having an even number of sites obviously stabilizes the system compared to an odd number; however, this effect vanishes as the size increases. This behavior is not seen within the mean-field approximation and is due to the fact that an odd number of sites cannot all be filled due to the electroneutrality condition, and this induces a high energy cost when μ is large. When the system becomes large this effect is asymptotically negligible, and it does not show up at lower densities. The mean-field result does not show these oscillations, but the general trend agrees with the exact result as well as with the continuous model meanfield results [14]. Another difference that is not shown here is that the bulk pressure is lower in the exact result than in the mean-field result.

The bulk pressure too has some subtle properties. It is given by $P_b = \ln(\lambda_0)$, where λ_0 is the highest eigenvalue of K. But the operator K acts on the set of functions (14), which depends on the non-integer part of $Q, \theta = Q - \lfloor Q \rfloor \in$ [0, 1). Thus, we may expect that the bulk pressure depends on θ . This is indeed the case, but this dependence is very small: $\Delta P_b/P_b \sim 10^{-3}$. This dependence corresponds to the so-called θ -vacuum introduced in ref. [15] for a standard Coulomb gas.

We next analyze the differential capacitance as a function of the boundary voltage difference for M = 100 sites and $\gamma = 1$ at high density ($\mu = 10$) in fig. 2 and low density ($\mu = 0.1$) in fig. 3. Two phenomena emerge in the behavior of capacitance: i) the capacitance has a dip at PZC; ii) the capacitance exhibits oscillations, distinctly visible at low densities. The PZC dip appears at low μ and confirms the continuum mean-field results of Kornyshev [2]. The oscillations stem from the θ -dependence of the bulk pressure inducing an extensive θ -dependence of the grand potential,



Fig. 3: (Colour on-line) Capacitance as a function of the voltage drop for $\mu = 0.1$ and $\gamma = 1$: exact result (solid line) and meanfield result (dashed line). For smaller γ the non-monotonicity gradually disappears and the exact solution approaches the mean-field result of Kornyshev [2].



Fig. 4: (Colour on-line) Mean charge density close to the left electrode (located at x = -1) as a function of the position for Q = 0.5, $\gamma = 1$ and $\mu = 1$: exact result (solid line) and mean-field result (dashed line).

which then exhibits minima at θ^* corresponding to boundary charges $Q \in Z + \theta^*$. When the imposed voltage varies, the average charge exhibits plateaus at these selected charges, and the jumps between plateaus induce the peaks in the capacitance. These peaks become weaker as the system gets smaller or as the temperature increases.

The mean-field capacitance exhibits exactly the same trends but without oscillations, which is consistent with the absence of plateaus in the average charge. Again our mean-field results coincide with those of ref. [2].

Next we analyze the charge density profile in the vicinity of one of the boundaries (left); the same profile with opposite charge is obviously found close to the other boundary. First we note that for small packing fractions $(\mu = 1)$ the charge density shows a monotonic variation as a function of the separation from the boundary, fig. 4, and agrees with the mean-field result [10].



Fig. 5: (Colour on-line) Exact result for the mean charge density close to the left electrode (located at x = -1) as a function of the position for Q = 0.5, for $\gamma = 1$ and different values of the fugacity.



Fig. 6: (Colour on-line) Capacitance as a function of the voltage drop for $\mu = 1$ and $\gamma = 0.1$: exact result (solid line) and mean-field result (dashed line). Inset: charge density as a function of the position close to the left electrode, for Q = 5.

As we increase the packing fraction $(\mu > 1, \text{ fig. 5})$ the overscreening effect starts to dominate the behavior of the charge density and clear charge layering emerges: a counterion layer is followed by a coion layer with their thicknesses equal to the lattice unit. This corresponds closely to the experimentally observed situation [5] and approximately to the results of the model of Bazant *et al.* [9] if the packing fraction is not too large.

The charge distribution exhibits the following phenomenology: i) the amplitude of the oscillations varies continuously with the boundary charge Q, being maximal for half-integer values and zero for integer charges, due to the fact that in 1D one can have perfect screening only for integer values of Q; ii) the overcharging oscillations are damped with a characteristic length ξ , with $\xi \sim \mu$. The characteristic lengthscale of the overscreening oscillations can be obtained from the two highest eigenvalues of the transfer matrix K, λ_0 and λ_1 , and the definition of the correlation length $\xi = (\ln |\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}|)^{-1}$. Analytic computations of these eigenvalues for $k_{\max} = 1$ and Q = 0, and for $k_{\max} = 0.5$ and Q = 0.5 indeed give $\xi \sim \mu$.

When γ is small the mean-field approximation gives an accurate account of the capacitance and density profile in the system as shown in fig. 6. From this figure it is clear that the mean-field approximation works best for large applied voltages, which is also physically reasonable as the mean-field density profile imposed by the external potential is larger and thus relatively more important than any fluctuations.

Since the mean-field results show no overscreening, it must be a specific feature of the exact result for the same LCG model. We thus conclude that in order to describe the overscreening phenomena in ionic liquids one needs to go beyond the mean-field level of approximation. There appears to be no need to modify the original LCG Hamiltonian but it is essential to retain its discretized lattice form as opposed to its continuum limit. Based on our results, valid in 1D, we conclude that an approximation akin to the strong-coupling limit, as defined for ordinary Coulomb fluids [16], could be pertinent. However, deriving such an approximation systematically for a lattice Coulomb gas, where there are multiple length scales, is an open problem.

* * *

DSD acknowledges support from the Institut Universitaire de France. RP acknowledges support of The Leverhulme Trust and illuminating discussions with S. PERKIN, D. HARRIES and U. RAVIV.

REFERENCES

- [1] SIMON P. and GOGOTSI Y., Nat. Mater., 7 (2008) 845.
- [2] KORNYSHEV A. A., J. Phys. Chem. B, 111 (2007) 5545.
- [3] BAZANT M. Z. et al., Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 152 (2009) 48.
- [4] FEDOROV M. V. and KORNYSHEV A. A., J. Phys. Chem. B Lett., 112 (2008) 11868.
- [5] METZGER M. et al., Science, **322** (2008) 424.
- [6] PERKIN S., ALBRECHT T. and KLEIN J., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., **12** (2010) 1243; UENO K. et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., **12** (2010) 4066; BOU-MALHAM I. and BUREAU L., Soft Matter, **6** (2010) 4062.
- [7] HAYES R., EL ABEDIN S. Z. and ATKIN R., J. Phys. Chem. B, 113 (2009) 7049.
- [8] PERKIN S., CROWHURST L., NIEDERMEYER H., WELTON T., SMITH A. M. and GOSVAMI N. N., Chem. Commun., 47 (2011) 6572.
- [9] BAZANT M. Z., STOREY B. D. and KORNYSHEV A. A., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **106** (2011) 046102.
- [10] BORUKHOV I., ANDELMAN D. and ORLAND H., Electrochem. Acta, 46 (2000) 221.
- [11] SANTANGELO C. D., Phys. Rev. E, 73 (2006) 041512.
- [12] KANDUČ M. et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 21 (2009) 424103.
- [13] LENARD A., J. Math. Phys., 26 (1961) 82; EDWARDS S. F. and LENARD A., J. Math. Phys., 3 (1962) 778; DEAN D. S., HORGAN R. R. and SENTENAC D., J. Stat. Phys., 90 (1998) 899; DEAN D. S., HORGAN R. R., NAJI A. and PODGORNIK R., J. Chem. Phys., 130 (2009) 094504.
- [14] BORUKHOV I., ANDELMAN D. and ORLAND H., Phys. Rev. Lett., 79 (1997) 435.
- [15] AIZENMAN M. and FRÖHLICH J., J. Stat. Phys., 26 (1981)
 2.
- [16] NAJI A. et al., Exotic Electrostatics: Unusual Features of Electrostatic Interactions between Macroions, in Series in Soft Condensed Matter, edited by HU W.-B. and SHI A.-C., Vol. 3 (World Scientific, Singapore) 2010.